## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOVAN LANCE BRADLEY,

Petitioner

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:19-CV-0390** 

(Judge Caputo)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.

et al.,

Respondents

## MEMORANDUM

## Introduction

Petitioner, Jovan Lance Bradley, an inmate confined at the Frackville State Correctional Institution (SCI-Frackville), in Frackville, Pennsylvania, filed the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He challenges his 2018 conviction imposed by the Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas. (ECF No. 1). Named as respondents are the Department of Corrections and the Pennsylvania State Attorney General. Mr. Bradley has paid the \$5.00 filing fee. (ECF No. 1-1.) For the reasons outlined below, the petition will be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

## II. Discussion

In states with two or more federal judicial districts, a state prisoner may file a habeas petition in one of two federal district courts: (1) the federal judicial district where the prisoner is in custody; or (2) the federal judicial district where the state court of conviction is located. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Each of those districts have "jurisdiction to

entertain the petition." Id. Section 2241(d), however, also provides that a district court

"in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice," may transfer a petitioner's

§ 2254 petition to "the district court for the district within which the State court was held

which convicted and sentenced [the petitioner]." 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Additionally, 28

U.S.C. § 1404 vests a district court with the authority to transfer any civil action, "for the

convenience of the parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice," to any district where

the action might have been brought. 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).1

In this case, Mr. Bradley is currently incarcerated at SCI-Frackville, which is in

Schuylkill County, which is within the territorial boundaries of the Middle District of

Pennsylvania. See 28 U.S.C. § 118(b). In his petition, he challenges the validity of his

conviction which was obtained in the Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas, which

is located within the territorial boundaries of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. See

28 U.S.C. § 118(a). Since the trial court, as well as any records, witnesses, and

counsel, are located within the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

Pennsylvania, the Court will transfer this action to the Eastern District.

An appropriate order follows.

Date: June 19, 2019

/s/ A. Richard Caputo

A. RICHARD CAPUTO

**United States District Judge** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because habeas proceedings are generally considered civil in nature, *Hilton v. Braunskill*, 481 U.S. 770, 776, 107 S.Ct. 2113, 2119, 95 L.Ed.2d 724 (1987), the term "civil action" includes habeas petitions. *Parrott v Government of Virgin Islands*, 230 F.3d 615, 683 (3d Cir. 2000).

entertain the petition." Id. Section 2241(d), however, also provides that a district court

"in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice," may transfer a petitioner's

§ 2254 petition to "the district court for the district within which the State court was held

which convicted and sentenced [the petitioner]." 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Additionally, 28

U.S.C. § 1404 vests a district court with the authority to transfer any civil action, "for the

convenience of the parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice," to any district where

the action might have been brought. 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).1

In this case, Mr. Bradley is currently incarcerated at SCI-Frackville, which is in

Schuylkill County, which is within the territorial boundaries of the Middle District of

Pennsylvania. See 28 U.S.C. § 118(b). In his petition, he challenges the validity of his

conviction which was obtained in the Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas, which

is located within the territorial boundaries of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. See

28 U.S.C. § 118(a). Since the trial court, as well as any records, witnesses, and

counsel, are located within the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

Pennsylvania, the Court will transfer this action to the Eastern District.

An appropriate order follows.

Date: June 19, 2019

/s/ A. Richard Caputo

A. RICHARD CAPUTO

**United States District Judge** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because habeas proceedings are generally considered civil in nature, *Hilton v. Braunskill*, 481 U.S. 770, 776, 107 S.Ct. 2113, 2119, 95 L.Ed.2d 724 (1987), the term "civil action" includes habeas petitions. *Parrott v Government of Virgin Islands*, 230 F.3d 615, 683 (3d Cir. 2000).